PETERBOROUGH



## CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

This form must be completed, signed by at least two members of any Scrutiny Commission or Scrutiny Committee and returned to the Scrutiny Team within 3 working days of the decision being published *(not including the day of publication)* 

Decision taker:	Cllr Cereste
Date of publication of decision:	At Cabinet – 1.7.13
Decision Called in :	Passenger Transport Subsidised Services

	REA	SONS FOR CALL-IN	Tick which reason applies
1.	Decis	sion contrary to the policy framework?	
2.	Decision contrary or not wholly consistent with the budget?		
3.	Decision is Key but it has not been dealt with in accordance with the Council's Constitution.		
4.	Decision does not follow principles of good decision-making set out in Article 12 of the Council's Constitution.		
		ason 4, please tick which specific element of Article 12 the dec ved, did he or she not:	ision maker has not
	(a)	Realistically consider all alternatives and, where reasonably possible, consider the views of the public.	X
	(b)	Understand and keep to the legal requirements regulating their power to make decisions	
	(c)	Take account of all relevant matters, both in general and specific, and ignore any irrelevant matters.	
	(d)	Act for a proper purpose and in the interests of the public.	X
	(e)	Keep to the rules relating to local government finance.	
	(f)	Follow procedures correctly and be fair.	
	(g)	Make sure they are properly authorised to make the decisions.	
	(h)	Be responsible for their decisions and be prepared to give reasons for them.	
	(i)	Take appropriate professional advice from officers.	

**Detailed Reason(s) for Call-in**. Please explain below why one of the reasons for call-in applies (eg. For number 1 - which major policy affected and how/why)

a) i. There appears to be no consideration given to the re-routing of bus routes to ensure vulnerable communities do not lose their bus routes.(e.g. in Ravensthopre area and also along Garton End Road)

ii. Contact has been made with us by a number of members of the public stating they had no idea that their bus route (particularly 406) was vulnerable to these reductions in service.

b) It is not in the interests of vulnerable members of the public – particularly the elderly – to have their bus route (particularly route 406) WITHDRAWN. This route in particular provides a lifeline for many of these residents and to walk to the nearest retained route is for these people both unrealistic and uncaring.

	Name (please print)	Signature	Date	
1.	John Shearman	John Shearman	4.7.13 22.40hrs	@
2.	Ann Sylvester	Ann Sylvester	4.7.13 22.40hrs	@
3.				